

GUBMENT POLICY WHITEPAPER NO. 8 · SERIES GBMT-8 · FILED 2026-08-03

Is America's drug policy actually working?

Overdose deaths just posted the largest sustained decline in 40 years – and the evidence says almost no policy anyone chose caused it. A feasibility assessment of enforcement, treatment, decriminalization, and legalization, with the legal wall around the one fix that's actually cheap.

ABSTRACT

The binding constraint on American drug policy is not evidence – it's law. The strongest-evidence treatment for stimulant use disorder is capped by a federal anti-kickback rule one agency could lift tomorrow; methadone, the best-studied opioid treatment on Earth, is dispensable only through 2,000 federally licensed clinics by a statute Congress alone can change. Meanwhile, the crisis's own numbers are moving for reasons policy didn't cause: overdose deaths fell ~38% from their 2023 peak, most credibly explained by a fentanyl supply shock and a shrinking at-risk population, not by any program this filing could recommend funding faster. We root-traced the two precedents everyone cites – Portugal and Oregon – and found both broke the same way: the money for treatment arrived more than a year after the leniency did. We conclude the cheapest, fastest, most defensible first move in the entire filing is a federal rule change nobody's heard of, and we stamp our uncertainties in the text.

-
- 1 · The bigger numbers
 - 2 · The legal wall
 - 3 · The decline nobody caused
 - 4 · What enforcement actually buys
 - 5 · The precedents, root-traced
 - 6 · The architecture that wins
 - 7 · Sequencing
 - × · The honesty box
-

PART 1

The bigger numbers: alcohol and tobacco kill more people than the whole overdose crisis

~178K

ALCOHOL DEATHS/YR

450–480K

TOBACCO DEATHS/YR

~113K

PEAK OVERDOSE DEATHS

43.1%

DEATHS INVOLVING BOTH AN OPIOID & A STIMULANT

Every "drug policy" conversation that stays confined to illegal substances is, by simple body count, looking at the smaller problem. Alcohol-attributable deaths (CDC, 2020–21 average) exceed the overdose crisis's own peak by more than half; tobacco kills 4–7 times as many Americans annually – using the exact policy toolkit (taxation, age-gating, marketing limits) this filing's own architecture list already includes for the legal drugs everyone already tolerates.

CDC ARDI/MMWR 2024 · CDC tobacco mortality estimates · CDC NCHS Data Brief 549

PLAIN TALK

If "drugs" only means the illegal ones, you've picked the smaller crisis. The two legal drugs kill more people every year than the fentanyl era ever did at its worst.

PART 2

The legal wall: the best-evidenced treatments are the most legally cornered

BINDING CONSTRAINT

Methadone – decades of evidence, dispensable in the UK, Australia, and Canada through ordinary pharmacies – can only be dispensed in the US through ~2,000 federally licensed clinics, a restriction written directly into statute (21 U.S.C. §823(h)), untouched by 2024's own reform. Buprenorphine's 2023 prescribing-waiver repeal produced real growth in *prescribers* – but flat growth in *patients actually treated*, confirmed by two independent studies: removing a legal barrier didn't move the outcome, because the barrier wasn't the binding constraint. And contingency management – the strongest evidence base for stimulant use disorder, which drives a growing share of the polysubstance deaths now the single largest overdose category – was capped for years at a third of its trial-effective incentive level by a federal anti-kickback policy one office can change on its own.

SAMHSA 42 CFR Part 8 · NEJM (Chua et al. 2024) · SAMHSA Advisory PEP24-06-001

That contingency-management fix is already in motion: HHS's Office of Inspector General has standing authority – from a 1987 law – to create a dedicated safe harbor, and it opened a formal review in November 2024. Nothing about this needs Congress.

PART 3

The decline nobody caused

Overdose deaths peaked at ~112–114K in 2023 and have fallen ~38% since – the longest sustained decline in over 40 years. The two studies that actually decompose the cause quantitatively both point away from any single policy lever: a shrinking at-risk population and a fentanyl supply shock (plausibly a Chinese precursor-chemical crackdown), not naloxone distribution or treatment expansion, which have real but secondary, unisolated contributions. In June 2025, a widely reported "deaths rising again" reversal turned out to be a statistical artifact in CDC's own forecasting model – corrected months later, after the story had already spread.

Vangelov, Reuter, Humphreys et al., *Science* 2026 · Dowell et al., *Lancet Regional Health Americas* 2025 · Post & Ciccarone, *AJPH* 2025

STRONG COMPARATOR, NOT A STRAWMAN

Deaths are already falling substantially for reasons no policy in this filing controls. Every architecture we score has to beat that trend, not zero – and the false-alarm episode is a warning that the public conversation is primed to believe a reversal on thin evidence.

PART 4

What enforcement actually buys — and what it doesn't

CLAIM	RECORD
DRUG PRICE	Real cocaine & heroin prices fell ~80% since 1981, purity rose, through decades of rising enforcement spending — no detectable price effect
STATE PRISONS	13% drug offenses, down 46% since 2007 — "mass incarceration" is not mainly a drug-war artifact where 89% of US prisoners are held
HOPE PROBATION	Landmark result — 4-site replication found no advantage over ordinary probation
FOCUSED DETERRENCE	Real, RCT-confirmed effect — but on gang/gun violence, not drug markets
DRUG COURTS	50%→38% recidivism in meta-analysis — concentrated among serious, dependent offenders

STEELMAN APPLIED, NOT DECORATED

PLAIN TALK

Enforcement's real, evidence-backed job is suppressing violence and reducing reoffending for serious offenders — not moving the price or supply of drugs. The single most famous "swift and certain" program on record didn't survive its own replication.

PART 5

The precedents, root-traced

Portugal's 2001 decriminalization produced a genuine, strongly corroborated HIV-transmission win — but the scholars who actually study it say decriminalization's own causal contribution, separate from the treatment system Portugal built alongside it, "cannot be firmly established." The most-cited pro-decriminalization US source is a funded advocacy report, not scholarship. Oregon's Measure 110 tells a sharper story: three of four peer-reviewed studies find little-to-no detectable effect on overdose deaths, pointing instead to the same regional fentanyl timing that hit every neighboring state — but Oregon's own treatment-funding mechanism didn't start reaching providers until 16 months after decriminalization took effect. Both precedents broke the identical way: the leniency arrived on schedule, the treatment money didn't.

Hughes & Stevens (2010, 2012) · Joshi et al., *JAMA Psychiatry* 2023 · Zoorob et al., *JAMA Network Open* 2024 · Spencer, *J. Health Econ.* 2023

CONTESTED, NOT SETTLED

One peer-reviewed study (Spencer 2023) does find a real Oregon effect – and attributes part of it to that same missing treatment buildout, not to leniency itself.

PART 6

The architecture that wins: eleven designs, four ways of scoring them, one clear first move

Eleven candidate architectures were scored on eight anchored dimensions – evidence strength, legal executability, cost, mortality impact, criminal-justice footprint, political durability, liberty impact, and speed – then ranked under four objective weightings (mortality-first, order-first, liberty-first, equal). The top-ranked architecture – confirmed by an independent blind second scorer – is **contingency-management legalization**: the strongest trial evidence in the filing, a federal rulemaking process already underway, next to no cost. Two first-pass claims did not survive the re-score and are withdrawn: supply-side spending no longer ranks last – its interdiction leg is refuted by forty years of price data, but its precursor-control leg carries the record's best observed link to the current death decline, so the row now holds a split verdict – and the prevention/tobacco-playbook row fell from second place to bottom-tier once its cells were re-anchored to what the record shows about the instrument rather than the size of its target. Decriminalization and the enforcement steelman still show the largest weighting swings – which remains the finding, not a flaw.

Scorecard: 11 × 8 × 4, anchored scales, red-team pass applied – committed

CLEAREST FIRST MOVE, NOT "THE" RECOMMENDATION

PART 7

Sequencing: the cheap fix moves first, precisely because nobody's watching it

Rulemaking-executable items – the contingency-management safe harbor, Medicaid's IMD-exclusion waivers, tighter conditions on opioid-settlement spending – can move in the next year with no new appropriation and no new statute. Items requiring Congress – ending methadone's dispensing monopoly, a durable cannabis banking fix – sit in a longer queue. The sharpest lesson from the precedents: both Oregon's and San Francisco's harm-reduction-forward policies were reversed within two to four years by locally organized, donor-backed coalitions, regardless of what the underlying mortality data actually showed. A policy that's politically invisible – like a federal

safe-harbor rule – can bank results before any backlash coalition has time to organize. A policy that's politically legible as "harm reduction" cannot count on that same runway.

Sequencing synthesis, 11 workstreams – committed

APPENDIX

The honesty box

The scorecard's own dimensions favor cheap, fast fixes. Contingency management's top ranking is real, but partly a function of which axes we chose to measure – a reader who weights transformative scale over speed could reasonably prefer a different architecture. **Two precedents, one failure mode.** Portugal and Oregon both show decriminalization-without-prompt-treatment-funding underperforming – but we haven't yet root-traced Switzerland, the case most often cited as the one that funded treatment properly. That comparison is still open. **Twice-checked, with a disclosed leak.** An independent blind second scorer has now re-scored every cell; the reconciliation withdrew two first-pass ranking claims and confirmed the headline. One honesty note: a status line in the protocol file leaked the headline conclusion to the second scorer, so agreement on the top rank is discounted as confirmation – the underlying cells were still independently derived, and the leak is logged, not hidden.

THE RECEIPTS · 11-workstream protocol · pre-registered adjudication criteria (M3) · anchor table preserving our own corrected priors · deviations log (14 entries) · red team applied · steelman built for enforcement per M3 · all committed and public.

CITE · Gubment. "Is America's Drug Policy Actually Working?" Policy Whitepaper No. 8, Aug 2026. gubment.com/drugs · Sources & data: gubment.com/drugs/sources